

8: GLASTONBURY									
Rep No	ID	Issue Ref	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Policy	Matter	Issue Summary	Changes sought to the Pre-Submission Plan	MDC Response
358	6342	1	Jake Smith on behalf of estate of Mrs M House	Cooper and Tanner	Section 10.2: Glastonbury	Housing allocation	Smaller deliverable sites should be considered to meet housing supply requirements and to provide a buffer margin for sites that may not deliver.		A variety of site types and sizes are allocated. additional sites will come forward as windfall and infilling. No change to the plan.
451	6413	2	Lee Wright on behalf of Mr David White	Wright Consult LLP	Section 3: Housing Land	Housing requirement	The Part I housing requirement of 420 dwellings per annum is a minimum. The SHMA states that the range should be 411-491 dwellings per annum. In accordance with the NPPF, Mendip should be using their evidence base to ensure the Local Plan meets objectively assessed need (i.e. the SHMA range) which they are not. This represents a 17% deficit in housing each year, which in turn means the five year housing supply is not being met.	Increase the housing requirement.	New government targets will affect Mendip in 2020 when a new national formula to calculate district housing requirements known as Local Housing Need (LHN) will set the basis of plan making and national housing supply/delivery tests. This is currently estimated to be 575 dwellings a year. This scale of housing growth and policy changes in the latest NPPF can only be addressed through a comprehensive review of Local Plan Part I. No change to the plan.
451	6413	3	Lee Wright on behalf of Mr David White	Wright Consult LLP	Section 3: Housing Land	Housing requirement	It is suspected that self-contained tourism units are being counted against the five year housing supply as dwellings, when in fact they provide no full-time residential accommodation and should not be counted. Can the Council please confirm if this is the case?		The Council does not count tourism accommodation towards its five year supply. The definitions are published as part of the Mendip Housing Trajectory
451	6413	4	Lee Wright on behalf of Mr David White	Wright Consult LLP	Section 10: Mendip Towns	Housing allocation	Allocating such a high proportion of required housing to the market towns and using larger sites is flawed. Larger sites consistently underperform in terms of housing numbers and delivery times due to them being more complex, taking longer and simply not being able to get as many houses on a given area of land today compared to the past (due to all the additional space constraints required). Will lead to Mendip failing on their five year housing land supply.	Allocate more smaller sites such as GLAS003.	A variety of site types and sizes are allocated, and it is acknowledged that additional sites will come forward as windfall and infilling. Site GLAS003 is not suitable for allocation.
476	6430	2	Lee Wright on behalf of Mssrs Bunn	Wright Consult LLP	Section 3: Housing Land	Housing requirement	The Part I housing requirement of 420 dwellings per annum is a minimum. The SHMA states that the range should be 411-491 dwellings per annum. In accordance with the NPPF, Mendip should be using their evidence base to ensure the Local Plan meets objectively assessed need (i.e. the SHMA range) which they are not. This represents a 17% deficit in housing each year, which in turn means the five year housing supply is not being met.	Increase the housing requirement.	New government targets will affect Mendip in 2020 when a new national formula to calculate district housing requirements known as Local Housing Need (LHN) will set the basis of plan making and national housing supply/delivery tests. This is currently estimated to be 575 dwellings a year. This scale of housing growth and policy changes in the latest NPPF can only be addressed through a comprehensive review of Local Plan Part I. No change to the plan.

Rep No	ID	Issue Ref	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Policy	Matter	Issue Summary	Changes sought to the Pre-Submission Plan	MDC Response
476	6430	3	Lee Wright on behalf of Mssrs Bunn	Wright Consult LLP	Section 3: Housing Land	Housing requirement	It is suspected that self-contained tourism units are being counted against the five year housing supply as dwellings, when in fact they provide no full-time residential accommodation and should not be counted. Can the Council please confirm if this is the case.		The Council does not count tourism accommodation towards its five year supply. The definitions are published as part of the Mendip Housing Trajectory. No change to the plan.
476	6430	4	Lee Wright on behalf of Mssrs Bunn	Wright Consult LLP	Section 10: Mendip Towns	Housing allocation	Allocating such a high proportion of required housing to the market towns and using larger sites is flawed. Larger sites consistently underperform in terms of housing numbers and delivery times due to them	Allocate more smaller sites such as GLAS005 and GLAS093.	A variety of site types and sizes are allocated, and it is acknowledged that additional sites will come forward as windfall and infilling. Site GLAS093 already has outline planning permission. Site GLAS005 is not suitable for allocation.
543	6482	7	Liz Payne	CPRE	GL1, GL3, GL4, GL5	housing allocation	Support allocation of previously developed sites.	None.	Support noted.
025	3012	2	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	GL2	Allotment provision	The Town Council does not yet own the land at Uppingstock Farm and has not decided on its use. Land at Common Moor, which is owned by the Town Council, can be used for allotments if necessary.	Amend text regarding allotments.	As the Town Council does not yet own the land at Uppingstock Farm or decided on its use, the following sentence will be deleted from the policy: 'Moreover, Glastonbury Town Council have secured land for Public Open Space at Uppingstock Farm, adjacent to the Old Wells Road (change of use granted from agricultural land to public outdoor recreational open space in 2013, application reference 2013/0924) and therefore the loss of allotments would be mitigated'. This will be replaced with the following text: 'Alternative provision will be made to ensure the loss of allotments is mitigated'. Change to Plan Proposed
082	6090		Stewart Brock	Somerset County Council	GL2	Housing allocation	Allotments have been demonstrated to have health benefits. The policy makes provision for replacement allotments but the site at Uppingstock Farm may not be of equal value as it is not conveniently located for current allotment holders and work to increase fertility of the current allotments will be lost.	Retain part of the allocation site GL2 for use as allotments.	The constraints around Glastonbury are so significant that this site is one of the few viable options to provide for the housing needs of the town. As such, alternative allotment provision will be made to ensure the loss is mitigated. This, however, is now unlikely to be at Uppingstock Farm as the Town Council does not yet have the land in their ownership. The policy text will therefore be amended accordingly.
088	6150	1	Steph French	N/A	GL2	Housing allocation	Proposed replacement allotments are more than 3/4 of a mile away and will break up the existing allotment community which offers health benefits, especially to the elderly. It is difficult to recreate the fertility of allotments.	Delete GL2	The Council recognises that the allotments are an integral part of the community and, as such, alternative provision will be made. This, however, is now unlikely to be at Uppingstock Farm as the Town Council does not yet have the land in their ownership. The policy text will therefore be amended accordingly.
088	6150	2	Steph French	N/A	GL2	Housing allocation	Wildlife such as bats and slow worms will be adversely affected.	Delete GL2	The policy includes mitigation requirements appropriate to the Local Plan which includes maintaining or enhancing biodiversity on the site. No change to the plan needed.
088	6150	3	Steph French	N/A	GL2	Housing allocation	Landscape impact of the proposed housing will affect the Tor.	Delete GL2	The policy includes mitigation requirements appropriate to the Local Plan which includes sensitively designing the site to ensure no harm to its setting, with particular regard to landscape impacts and distant views of the Tor. No change to the plan needed.
233	6250	1	Oliver Frost	N/A	GL2	Housing allocation	Objects to the removal of allotments because of the social, environmental and economic benefits they have.	Delete GL2	The Council recognises that the allotments provide many social, environmental and economic benefits and, as such, alternative provision will be made. This, however, is now unlikely to be at Uppingstock Farm as the Town Council does not yet have the land in their ownership. The policy text will therefore be amended accordingly.

Rep No	ID	Issue Ref	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Policy	Matter	Issue Summary	Changes sought to the Pre-Submission Plan	MDC Response
312	6307	1	Richard Bailey on behalf of Elan Homes	PCL Planning Ltd		Development limits	Supports the amended development limit around Uppingstock Farm and Pear Tree Farm.	None.	Support is noted.
325	6319	1	Rosalind Neville	N/A	GL2	Housing allocation	Objects to the removal of allotments due to the hard work she has put into her allotment, the enjoyment she gets from it and the wildlife that is there. Concerned that so many other green spaces have already been lost to development. Existing properties should be regenerated instead. Also concerned about the strain on infrastructure, pollution and traffic that will come from the new development.	Delete GL2.	The Council recognises that allotments provide many social, environmental and economic benefits and, as such, alternative allotment provision will be made. This, however, is now unlikely to be at Uppingstock Farm as the Town Council does not yet have the land in their ownership. The policy text will therefore be amended accordingly. The updated Infrastructure Plan considers the infrastructure implications arising from the proposed growth and the issues that need to be addressed. Local highways impacts will be assessed as part of any planning application.
379	1798	3	Ross Simmonds	Historic England	GL3	housing allocation	Site is close to key heritage assets in Glastonbury.	Criteria 2 should be amended to "To ensure no harm to the significance or setting of the site or heritage assets in the vicinity".	Amend point 2 of the policy to read "The site should be designed sensitively to ensure no harm to the significance or setting of the site or heritage assets in the vicinity".
389	6365	1	Caroline Capes	N/A	GL2	Housing allocation	Against building on allotments and also on a site that is liable to flooding.	Delete GL2.	The constraints around Glastonbury are so significant that this site is one of the few viable options to provide for the housing needs of the town. As such, alternative allotment provision will be made to ensure the loss is mitigated. In terms of flooding, this will be assessed as part of any planning application and mitigation measures put in place as required.
433	6399	1	David Nicholson	N/A	GL2	Housing allocation	Against building on allotments as the allotment holders have spent a lot of time and money on them. Also due to the impact more houses will have on local infrastructure and wildlife.	Delete GL2.	The Council recognises that the allotments are an integral part of the community and, as such, alternative provision will be made. The updated Infrastructure Plan considers the infrastructure implications arising from the proposed growth and the issues that need to be addressed. In terms of biodiversity, the policy states that this should be maintained or enhanced.
436	6401	1	Jane Boucher	N/A	GL2	Housing allocation	Against building on allotments as they provide a valuable resource for the local community, encourage wildlife, are educational and aid mental health. The site is also liable to flooding so should not be used for housing.	Delete GL2.	The Council recognises that the allotments are an integral part of the community and, as such, alternative provision will be made. In terms of biodiversity, the policy states that this should be maintained or enhanced. Flood risk will be assessed as part of any planning application and mitigation measures put in place as required.
451	6413	1	Lee Wright on behalf of Mr David White	Wright Consult LLP		housing - objection site	This site should be allocated for housing as the majority of the sites that are allocated are not 'deliverable' or 'developable', and they are subject to significant constraints. In contrast, the landscape impact constraints of GLAS003 are relatively limited and those which remain can be sensibly mitigated, the site is in a sustainable location as it is a short walk for the town centre and public transport, and it could potentially provide up to 30 homes with 10 being affordable. It is a better option than many of the other sites put forward.	HELAA site GLAS003 should be allocated.	Site is within the Special Landscape Feature designation at Wearyall Hill and would have an impact on the setting of Glastonbury. It is not suitable for development. No change to the plan.

Rep No	ID	Issue Ref	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Policy	Matter	Issue Summary	Changes sought to the Pre-Submission Plan	MDC Response
503	6453	1	L Williams	N/A	GL2	Housing allocation	Against building on allotments as they are well used, have lots of wildlife, will be in demand due to all the new housing, and for social and recreational reasons. All other alternatives should be explored first. Land at Uppingstock Farm is further away, not big enough, designated as a	Delete GL2.	The constraints around Glastonbury are so significant that this site is one of the few viable options to provide for the housing needs of the town. The Council recognises that the allotments are well used and an integral part of the community and, as such, alternative provision will be made. This, however, is now unlikely to be at Uppingstock Farm as the Town Council does not yet have the land in their ownership. The
507	6456	1	Ursula Casey	N/A	GL2	Housing allocation	Against building on allotments as they are well established, easily accessible, are largely on a floodplain, have lots of wildlife, and for social, physical and educational reasons. The proposed site is smaller and further from the town so more difficult to access.	Delete GL2.	The Council recognises that allotments provide many social, environmental and economic benefits and, as such, alternative provision will be made. This, however, is now unlikely to be at Uppingstock Farm as the Town Council does not yet have the land in their ownership. The policy text will therefore be amended accordingly. Flood risk will be assessed as part of any planning application and mitigation measures put in place as required. In terms of biodiversity, the policy states that this should be maintained or enhanced.
523	6467	1	Lucy Neeve	N/A	GL2	Housing allocation	Against building on allotments as they improve mental and physical wellbeing and ecosystem services (including flood alleviation, carbon storage, air quality enhancement and habitat) and the amount of green infrastructure would be reduced. No details of the size or future use of the alternative land has been given. It is also not demonstrated that this land would result in net gain for biodiversity.	Delete GL2.	The Council recognises that allotments provide many social, environmental and economic benefits and, as such, alternative provision will be made. This, however, is now unlikely to be at Uppingstock Farm as the Town Council does not yet have the land in their ownership. The policy text will therefore be amended accordingly.
543	6482	8	Liz Payne	CPRE	GL2	housing allocation	Allotments take a long time to establish. Replaced allotments need to be accessible and in the right location for existing plot holders. Glastonbury has a residual requirement of 120 and can provide 103 elsewhere. The loss of these allotments is disproportionate to the housing benefit gained.	Delete GL2	The constraints around Glastonbury are so significant that this site is one of the few viable options to provide for the housing needs of the town. The Council recognises that the allotments are well used and an integral part of the community and, as such, alternative provision will be made. This, however, is now unlikely to be at Uppingstock Farm as the Town Council does not yet have the land in their ownership. The policy text will therefore be amended accordingly.
654	6020	1	Diana Hicks Lander	N/A	GL2	Housing Allocation	The land is not fit for purpose and already has another purpose (as allotments and for recreation and exercise). Concerned the loss of allotments will have a negative impact on emotional and mental wellbeing, education for children and ecology. The replacement site should be as well as, not instead of, the existing allotments. New affordable homes should be spread throughout the town.	Delete GL2.	The constraints around Glastonbury are so significant that this site is one of the few viable options to provide for the housing needs of the town. The Council recognises that allotments provide many social, environmental and economic benefits and, as such, alternative provision will be made.
687	6574	7	Richard Bull	EA	GL2	Flood risk	The emergency access officer should be consulted regarding safe access issues. Flood risk text is acknowledged.	Consult emergency access officer.	This is more appropriate to the planning application stage. No change to the plan.
687	6574	8	Richard Bull	EA	GL5	Flood risk	Flood risk text is acknowledged.	None.	Noted. No response required.

Rep No	ID	Issue Ref	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Policy	Matter	Issue Summary	Changes sought to the Pre-Submission Plan	MDC Response
687	6574	9	Richard Bull	EA	GL5	Wildlife	There are a number of ditches on the site and therefore opportunities to maintain and enhance biodiversity. Also opportunities to remove culverts should be investigated.	Add text regarding enhancing biodiversity and removal of culverts.	Point 7 of the policy already states that 'opportunities should be taken to maintain or enhance biodiversity'. Landscape and ecology section could be amended to take this into account
321	6316	1	Emily Burtenshaw	N/A	GL2	Housing allocation	Objects to the removal of allotments as there will be the loss of a valuable green space and wildlife is well established. The alternative location is further away from the town which will make accessing it difficult for some people.	Delete GL2.	The constraints around Glastonbury are so significant that this site is one of the few viable options to provide for the housing needs of the town. The Council recognises that a valuable green space will be lost and, as such, alternative allotment provision will be made. This, however, is now unlikely to be at Uppingstock Farm as the Town Council does not yet have the land in their ownership. The policy text will therefore be amended accordingly. In terms of biodiversity, all opportunities should be taken on the site to ensure this is maintained or enhanced.
258	2086	1	Nigel Salmon on behalf of David Cullen	Salmon Planning Company		housing - objection site	Allocate 0.57ha of land at Uppingstock Farm for housing.	Designate as a housing site.	The site is in a peripheral location at some distance from the majority of Glastonbury's amenities. The landscape character is predominantly rural, comprising fields and hedgerows with the hill rising to Glastonbury Tor dominating the skyline. Development would further urbanise this rural section of the town's edge and affect the character of the setting of the Tor. The site is not suitable for allocation. No change to the plan.
265	950	1	E.N and J.E James	N/A		housing - objection site	Intending to renew a previously lapsed submission for houses adjoining 24 The Roman Way.	None.	Residential site within development limits and does not need to be allocated.
265	950	2	E.N and J.E James	N/A		housing - objection site	Allocate land behind 36 and 26-46 The Roman Way for housing.	Designate as a housing site.	This land is within development limits.
273	6275	1	Rosie Gurung	N/A	GL2	Housing allocation	Against building on allotments as the replacement land is not like for like, it is in the floodplain and they are an integral part of the community.	Delete GL2.	The Council recognises that the allotments are an integral part of the community and, as such, alternative provision will be made. This, however, is now unlikely to be at Uppingstock Farm as the Town Council does not yet have the land in their ownership. The policy text will therefore be amended accordingly. In terms of flooding, this will be assessed as part of any planning application and mitigation measures put in place as required.
294	6291	1	Nigel Salmon on behalf of Tony Ruddle	Salmon Planning Company		housing - objection site	Allocate land at Avalon Motor Company on the Wells Road for housing.	Designate as a housing site.	Site is considered suitable for redevelopment and will be included in a revised Policy GL4.
358	6342	2	Jake Smith on behalf of estate of Mrs M House	Cooper and Tanner		housing - objection site	Land and buildings at Godney Road/Northload Bridge should be included within the housing development limit allocation as it would offer viable redevelopment, has convenient access to the A39, is adjacent to the football club, is within Flood Zone 1, is level and it offers pedestrian access.	Amend development limit to include Godney Road/Northload Bridge.	This site is not considered suitable for allocation or change to the development limit. This location is considered isolated from Glastonbury and characteristic of countryside. There is no link to the development approved at common moor.
476	6430	1	Lee Wright on behalf of Mssrs Bunn	Wright Consult LLP		housing - objection site	Many of the preferred housing sites for Glastonbury are not deliverable or developable. GLAS005 and GLAS093 have more manageable issues and are deliverable and developable.	Allocate GLAS005 and GLAS093.	GLAS093 has outline planning permission for 33 dwellings. GLAS005 is not suitable as it is within the Glastonbury Tor Special Landscape Feature and would impact on the setting of Glastonbury. The allocated housing sites are all deliverable.

Rep No	ID	Issue Ref	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Policy	Matter	Issue Summary	Changes sought to the Pre-Submission Plan	MDC Response
025	3012	3	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	Section 10.2: Glastonbury	Employment land	More employment land is needed. Could extend the Morlands site (GLAS107E) to Porchestal Drove by including land besides Snows Timber. Could also be used as a traveller site.	Designate as employment land.	The majority of this land is in Flood Zone 2 or 3 and not suitable for development in principle. A limited area adjacent to Snows Timber is Flood Zone 1 but not immediately available for development. This will be kept under review.
010	55	1	Clifford & Patricia Gould	N/A	LGSGLAS010	Local Green Space	Support as long as there is no change to access and management.	None.	Support is noted.
025	3012	1	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGSGLAS006	Local Green Space	Support the designation.	None.	Support is noted.
561	1466	2	Katie Marsh	agent, Ben Malin, Terraqueous ltd	GLAS107E and GLAS108E	employment land omission	Insufficient additional employment land is allocated in Glastonbury or Street either as allocations or Future Growth areas.	Include GLAS107E and GLAS108E as employment land.	Both these sites have poor highway access. GLAS107E remains in Flood Zone 3 and a limited area of GLAS108E is within Flood Zone 2. Overall these are not suitable for allocation.
561	1466	3	Katie Marsh	agent, Ben Malin, Terraqueous ltd	GLAS107E and GLAS108E	employment land omission	The flood status of these sites has been changed. At the time of the Issues and Options consultation both were in Flood Zone 3. They are now shown by the EA as partially within Flood Zone 2 and partially within Flood Zone 3.	Include GLAS107E and GLAS108E as employment land.	Both these sites have poor highway access. GLAS107E remains in Flood Zone 3 and a limited area of GLAS108E is within Flood Zone 2. Overall these are not suitable for allocation.
025	3012	4	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGS	Local Green Space	Designate Green Border parallel with Thorndun Estate as LGS.	Designate as LGS.	The site contributes to the amenity of the Thorndun Estate but does not fulfil the criteria to justify designation as LGS. No change to the plan.
025	3012	5	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGS	Local Green Space	Designate Cinnamon Lane Play Park as LGS.	Designate as LGS.	Site offers informal recreation and children's play in a tranquil and beautiful setting. It contributes to the character of Cinnamon Lane and the nearby Actis Estate. It allows views over the levels from the upper parts of the site. Site should be designated as LGS.
025	3012	6	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGS	Local Green Space	Designate land next to cemetery (above Avalon Estate) as LGS.	Designate as LGS.	Site is important in views across the cemetery and forms a green backdrop to the main area of burials. It is also a feature in its own right being in use as a green burial site. It offers a sense of tranquillity appropriate to its use. Site should be designated as LGS.
025	3012	7	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGS	Local Green Space	Designate Bride's Mound as LGS.	Designate as LGS.	The site is a scheduled ancient monument and has historic and cultural significance. However, it's interest is as a historic site rather than as an open space and it does not fulfil the criteria for designation as a Local Green Space. No change to the plan.
025	3012	8	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGS	Local Green Space	Designate triangle of land between Ashwell Lane and the A361 as LGS.	Designate as LGS.	The site is not significant in important views and does not contribute to significantly the character of the area. It offers public access and is equipped with a bench but does not provide scope for informal recreation other than resting on the bench. It is valuable for its contribution to local amenity but is not of such significance that it meets the criteria for designation. No change to the plan.
025	3012	9	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGS	Local Green Space	Designate land next to Thorndun Estate as LGS.	Designate as LGS.	The site contributes to the amenity of the Thorndun Estate but does not fulfil the criteria to justify designation as LGS. No change to the plan.

Rep No	ID	Issue Ref	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Policy	Matter	Issue Summary	Changes sought to the Pre-Submission Plan	MDC Response
025	3012	10	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGS	Local Green Space	Designate Wearyall Hill as LGS.	Designate as LGS.	Site offers iconic views over Glastonbury and the levels and is itself an important feature in views from the town and land to the north. It offers informal recreation in a tranquil and beautiful setting. It contributes to the character of Glastonbury and the surrounding levels over a considerable area. Site should be designated as LGS.
025	3012	11	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGS	Local Green Space	Designate old fair site (Herbie's Field) as LGS.	Designate as LGS.	The field appears as part of the open countryside. It has some appeal in the context of the wider landscape but does not have the additional significance needed for LGS designation. No change to the plan.
025	3012	12	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGS	Local Green Space	Designate triangles of land near Hamlyn Road/Leg of Mutton Road as LGS.	Designate as LGS.	Site offers spectacular views from Leg of Mutton Road and Rowley Road which are valued in their own right and which contribute to the character of this part of Glastonbury. The site is accessible green land and offers a sense of tranquillity and the opportunity to enjoy the view. The site should be designated as LGS.
025	3012	13	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGS	Local Green Space	Designate St Dunstan's School as LGS.	Designate as LGS.	The site does not offer views or contribute to the character of the area, being tightly confined by buildings and the by-pass. It is not open for general public access and does not offer informal recreation. It does not meet the criteria for designation. No change to the plan.
025	3012	14	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGS	Local Green Space	Designate St Benedict's School as LGS.	Designate as LGS.	The site does not offer important views or contribute significantly to the character of the area. It is not open for general public access and does not offer informal recreation. It does not meet the criteria for designation. No change to the plan.
025	3012	15	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGS	Local Green Space	Designate St John's School as LGS.	Designate as LGS.	The site does not offer important views or contribute significantly to the character of the area. It is not open for general public access and does not offer informal recreation. It does not meet the criteria for designation. No change to the plan.
025	3012	16	Jane Czornij	Glastonbury Town Council	LGS	Local Green Space	Designate triangle of land at Paradise Road as LGS.	Designate as LGS.	The site does not offer important views or contribute significantly to the character of the area. It offers public access and is equipped as a kick about area. It is valuable for its contribution to local amenity but is not of such significance that it meets the criteria for designation.
040	5018		Michael Hooper	N/A	LGS	Local Green Space	These green spaces within the urban environment are demonstrably special and allow views out of an otherwise built up street scene. They are especially valuable as they allow views of the sunset throughout the year across the levels.	Designate LGS at Leg of Mutton Road/Rowley Road and Leg of Mutton Road/Hamlyn Road.	Site west of Leg of Mutton Road offers spectacular views which are valued in their own right and which contribute to the character of this part of Glastonbury. The site is accessible green land and offers a sense of tranquillity and the opportunity to enjoy the view. The site should be designated as LGS. The small triangle of land east of Leg of Mutton Road has less significance and should not be designated as LGS.
273	6275	2	Rosie Gurung	N/A	GL2	Local Green Space	The allotments should be designated as a green space.	Designate allotments as a green space.	The constraints around Glastonbury are so significant that this site is one of the few viable options to provide for the housing needs of the
591	6515	1	Chalice Well Trust	Chalice Well Trust	LGSGLAS011 and LGSGLAS012	Local Green Space	Supports designation but concerned the area on the map does not match the corresponding Land Registry documents.		Support is noted. Only the area that meets the designation criteria is included, which may not correspond to land ownership. No change to the plan.