

SETTLEMENT: DOULTING										
Prefix	Rep No	ID	Issue Ref	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Policy	Matter	Issue Summary	Changes sought to the Pre-Submission Plan	MDC Response
PSPT2	042	1518	1	Colin Keevil	N/A	LGSDOU 003	LGS	Remove western portion of LGS from the designation to allow for access to the field beyond	Remove portion of the LGS designation	This site is part of a stepping stone for broadleaved woodland, which includes the western edge of the site. Wildlife value is one of the factors which is important to the site. Excluding the western edge of the site would not be appropriate. No change to the plan.
PSPT2	159	6205	1	Barry Clarke	N/A	11.11.5	Development limits	This site which would allow for a single dwelling initially could become a ribbon development and then impinge on the potential route for a new Doulting by-pass. A by-pass could free up a huge area of land for development, which would then support village services.	Delete change to development limit east of Farm Road.	This is a minor change to the development limit. No change to the plan.
PSPT2	212	1974	1	Alan Butcher	Doulting Parish Council	Develop ment Limits	Development limits	site allowed for at he rear of Farm Road will have to access the A361 at ta difficult junction	None	Noted.
PSPT2	212	1974	2	Alan Butcher	Doulting Parish Council	DU1	Housing allocation	Site is not large enough to support new facilities such as a shops for local residents. There is also a need for affordable housing and supported housing for older people. A greater emphasis on housing for local people should b included.	Expand housing allocation and include focus on housing for local people.	An objection on the grounds of impact on minerals resources has been received and a modification is proposed to delete allocation DU1. No other appropriate site has been put forward. A large site (DOU004) was put forward in the HELAA process, but this site is very much larger than required, would have significant landscape impact and does not currently have road access. It is not suitable for allocation. Two other small sites have been put forward. One takes access direct from the busy A361 at a difficult location and is not suitable for allocation. The other has been included as a minor amendment to development limits. DU1 is not a viable site (see objection 333-1786) and no alternatives have been put forward. Doulting is a secondary village and its total requirement is a minimum of 15 homes. 5 have been delivered, leaving a residual requirement of 10 homes. Given the constraints on the village, the lack of a site suitable for allocation and the modest number of homes required it is not proposed to allocate a site in Doulting. Change to the plan for other reasons.

Prefix	Rep No	ID	Issue Ref	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Policy	Matter	Issue Summary	Changes sought to the Pre-Submission Plan	MDC Response
PSPT2	212	1974	3	Alan Butcher	Doulting parish Council	DU1	housing allocation	Address concerns about traffic and pedestrian safety on A361 in any development	address traffic concerns in housing allocation policy	Any development taking access from the A361 would need to address highway safety. However, no allocation is proposed on a sufficient scale to justify contributions to highways works more generally in the village. Change to the plan for other reasons.
PSPT2	333	1786	2	Amy Shepherd	Somerset County Council	DU1	housing allocation	Would like to discuss this allocation further as it raises minerals safeguarding concerns. The policy will need to align with policy SMP9 of the minerals plan, which requires assessment of the impacts of development in close proximity to a mineral resource to the ongoing viability of that resource. the MPA needs to be sure that any development proposals will not jeopardies any potential resource on land not currently quarried but within the Minerals Safeguarding Area.	Assessment of the impact on minerals resources needed	The site presents a significant risk of sterilising an active minerals permission. Residential development (on any scale) is not compatible with quarrying activity and close proximity is likely to give rise to complaint under typical reasonable quarrying operations. As such, this puts the quarrying activity at considerable risk of sterilisation. Restrictions on quarrying operations are most likely to occur if users of a new development find cause to complain about noise. Delete site DU1 from the plan. Change proposed.