

SETTLEMENT: BALTONSBOROUGH										
Prefix	Rep No	ID	Issue Ref	Contact Name	Company / Organisatio	Policy	Matter	Issue Summary	Changes sought to the Pre-Submission Plan	MDC Response
PSPT2	021	6091	1	Tom & Kay Johnson	N/A	LGSBALT001	LGS	Landowner support for designation.	None.	Support is noted.
PSPT2	070	6138	1	Mr & Mrs Crouch	N/A	LGSBALT002	LGS	There have been two planning applications: one for an office building (2015/2757/FUL) and one for a double garage (2017/0942/HSE) on the rear of the LGS site. Therefore respondents request that the LGS area be reduced to the include just the copse area in front of Orchard Neville House which is the only part of the land which makes a contribution to the street scene. This is supported by the Parish Council.	Revised LGS boundary to include only the land in front of their property.	The area at the front of Orchard Neville House makes a significant contribution to the street scene and is a beautiful tranquil area. However, views are cut short by buildings within the site, and the land further south does not contribute to the public realm. The site should be reduced in size to exclude land south of the buildings. Change proposed.

Prefix	Rep No	ID	Issue Ref	Contact Name	Company / Organisatio	Policy	Matter	Issue Summary	Changes sought to the Pre-Submission Plan	MDC Response
PSPT2	298	6295	1	Julie Laming on behalf of Urbina Ltd	Planning Ventures Ltd	Development Limit	Develop ment limits	The 4 areas of extension to the development limit only relate to existing residential planning permissions not existing brownfield employment sites. The limit should be extended to include the DPD site which sits adjacent to the eastern boundary of the village. Para 4.6 of the Pre-submission draft notes early consultation responses relating to employment land were limited therefore the current approach being taken is based on 6 consultation responses from the I&O Consultation stage. The exclusion of brownfield sites from the DL that are in sustainable locations is at odds with the Government's promotion of the development of brownfield sites. Inclusion of this site within the DL will not automatically lead to future development but will protect the existing employment site and enhance it by enabling future development opportunities to come forward. Therefore the policy is unsound.	An amendment to the development limit of Baltonsborough to include the DPD site and representation of this on the policies map.	In general, horticultural uses (such as glasshouses and nurseries) are not considered to be brownfield sites. Therefore the DPD site has a mix of brownfield and greenfield areas. In addition, the Council have not sought to extend development limits on the edge of settlements around other employment site in the District . Although there are clear boundaries and screening around the existing DPD site, a change in Development Limits would encourage redevelopment rather than the retention of this site as it is adjacent to an existing residential permission. No change to plan.
PSPT2	485	6436	1	Cheryl Aldridge	Baltonsborough Parish	LGSBALT001	LGS	support designation of the play area and allotments at Church Meadows as LGS.	None	Support is noted.
PSPT2	485	6436	2	Cheryl Aldridge	Baltonsborough Parish Council	IGS omission	LGS omission	Suggest designation of LGS at the recreation field at the rear of the school and village hall.	designate recreation field at the rear of the school and village hall as LGS	The site is designated as recreation area under policy DP16. It does not fulfil the criteria for designation as LGS. No change to the plan.

Prefix	Rep No	ID	Issue Ref	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Policy	Matter	Issue Summary	Changes sought to the Pre-Submission Plan	MDC Response
PSPT2	485	6436	3	Cheryl Aldridge	Baltonsborough Parish Council	LGS omission	LGS omission	Suggest designation of LGS at the field west of the Church. The field provides an open setting for the church and allows views which are important to the character of the village at this gateway to the settlement.	Designate the field west of the church as LGS	The land west of the church does contribute to the setting of the Church, and public footpaths allow the open space to be appreciated. However, the site relates more closely to the open countryside, and appears as a field on the edge of the settlement with no distinction between this area and the open countryside to the south.. It is not therefore appropriate for designation. No change to plan.
PSPT2	485	6436	4	Cheryl Aldridge	Baltonsborough Parish Council	Development limits	Development	Support exclusion of 2 new properties in Ham Street from development limits	None.	Support is noted.
PSPT2	485	6436	5	Cheryl Aldridge	Baltonsborough Parish Council	Development limits	Development limits	Permission 2016/2768 was granted as an exception to policy in order to provide housing for elderly people. It should not therefore be included in development limits. This will help protect neighbouring land from further development.	Exclude site of 2016/2768 from development limits as this is an exception site.	It is not proposed that this site is included within development limits. No amendment to the development limit is put forward at this site. No change to the plan.
PSPT2	543	6482	11	Liz Payne	CPRE	11.1.5	Housing policy	Baltonsborough has already suffered inappropriate levels of development. There is no requirement for allocations.	None.	Support is noted.

Prefix	Rep No	ID	Issue Ref	Contact Name	Company / Organisatio	Policy	Matter	Issue Summary	Changes sought to the Pre-Submission Plan	MDC Response
PSPT2	543	6482	12	Liz Payne	CPRE	11.1.8	LGS	Support LGS designations, but should include recreation field as in other settlements. Church wardens and Parish Council would like to see the field adjacent to the west of the church designated to protect the setting of the listed church and church wall.	Designate 2 additional LGS sites at the recreation field and field west of the church.	The land west of the church does contribute to the setting of the Church, and public footpaths allow the open space to be appreciated. However, the site relates more closely to the open countryside, and appears as a field on the edge of the settlement with no distinction between this area and the open countryside to the south. It is not therefore appropriate for designation. The recreation ground is designated as recreation area under policy DP16. It does not fulfil the criteria for designation as LGS. No change to the plan.