

56: RESPONSES ON THE INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN (IDP)									
Rep No	Objector ID	issue ref	Extra Docs	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Matter	Issue summary	Changes sought to the IDP	MDC response
420	6390	2	No	Liz Pickering	Education and Skills Funding Agency	education	Additional land for schools should be safeguarded as a result of LLP2 allocations and there will be an additional need for schools as a result of additional allocations. Such land should be provided free to SCC.	Include safeguarding of land for school in allocation policies.	Provision for school sites is included within the adopted allocations in Local Plan Part 1 at Street, Wells, Shepton Mallet and Frome
420	6390	3	No	Liz Pickering	Education and Skills Funding Agency	education	Welcomes detailed information on schools in the IDP and clear requirements for education contributions	None	Noted
420	6390	4	No	Liz Pickering	Education and Skills Funding Agency	education	IDP should take account of latest SCC research on pupil yields. The primary pupil yield of 0.2 is low in comparison to other Districts.	update IDP	IDP has been updated with the latest research
420	6390	5	No	Liz Pickering	Education and Skills Funding Agency	education	IDP should be updated to include Special Educational Needs. An assessment of SEN needs arising from planned development and capacity of existing provision is needed. Cross border data should be included.	update IDP	Noted - this information will be included if provided by the Education Authority
420	6390	6	No	Liz Pickering	Education and Skills Funding Agency	education	indicative costs for education provision should be included and would make the IDP more robust.	update IDP	Noted - this information will be included if provided by the Education Authority
420	6390	7	No	Liz Pickering	Education and Skills Funding Agency	education	ESFA emerging proposals for forward funding of schools as part of large residential developments may be relevant where a new schools is identified as critical	update IDP	Noted - this information will be included if provided by the Education Authority
420	6390	8	No	Liz Pickering	Education and Skills Funding Agency	education	Plan should be effective to be considered sound and there is a need to ensure that school contributions are sufficient to deliver the school places needed to meet their increased demand generated by developments. Support the use of S106 agreements as the most effective method of securing developer contributions	none	Noted
546	6485	1	No	Lisa Bullock	Network Rail	railway infrastructure	The impact of proposals on railway infrastructure should be considered in viability testing any allocated sites as the cost of mitigating any impacts may affect viability and deliverability.	Include consideration of impact on the rail infrastructure in	No allocated proposals impact on rail infrastructure
693	1979	1	No	Wookey Parish Council	Wookey Parish Council	S106/CIL	The majority of development identified in Local Plan Part 1 has already been agreed and therefore we don't believe further S106 funding will be provided. CIL has yet to be agreed by MDC and will take considerable time to implement if it is agreed. Doesn't believe there will be sufficient funding available from development not already allocated and identified in Local Plan Part 2 to fund the requirements identified in the Draft Infrastructure		The Council aim to maximise contributions from developers where allowed for through the planning system. The purpose of the IDP is to ensure there is an ongoing dialogue with responsible bodies on likely pressures from planned growth and to support their planning to manage capacity.

Rep No	Objector ID	issue ref	Extra Docs	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Matter	Issue summary	Changes sought to the IDP	MDC response
693	1979	2	No	Wookey Parish Council	Wookey Parish Council	Healthcare	The Draft Infrastructure Plan provides no constructive source of funding for healthcare.		It is not the role of the IDP to identify funding for healthcare. Discussions have taken place with the Somerset CCG and NHS England on the role of planning contributions but this is a complex area where strategies for delivering healthcare are changing.
693	1979	3	No	Wookey Parish Council	Wookey Parish Council	Emergency Services	Cannot believe that the emergency services have no requirements resulting from the addition of up to 11,200 new houses being built in this district.		Future requirements of fire, police and ambulance services have been discussed through other joint working arrangements involving public sector landowners.
693	1979	8	No	Wookey Parish Council	Wookey Parish Council	Schools	Concerned that S106/CIL cannot contribute to schools, as stated in Section 11, if the development has already taken place, been agreed or no further sites are being allocated.		Somerset County Council has agreed a plan for capital provision for schools over the next decade including those in Mendip
706	6589	1	No	Peter Travis	FAVBUG, buses Uk and Rail future	public transport	Increased housing numbers will mean more congested and polluted roads. A strategy to reduce car usage through public transport use is needed. Public transport through routes, linking towns, routes for new estates, co-ordination between rail and bus and extended timings are all needed. S106 monies should be used for these purposes.	Include strategy for improving public transport	Somerset County Council are the responsible body for setting out a public transport strategy and providing the necessary evidence for developer contributions. Mendip will continue to work with SCC in challenging circumstances to enhance provision and improve co-ordination.
706	6589	2	No	Peter Travis	FAVBUG, buses Uk and Railfuture	public transport	Villages are taking 21% of new housing and need improved bus services. Weekend and evening services are not optional, but now form part of the working week. They should be regarded as being part of the work environment. S106 monies should be used to provide these services.	Include the need for improved bus services and consider the use of S106 monies to provide	Noted - but this requires support from SCC as the Transport Authority .
706	6589	3	No	Peter Travis	FAVBUG, buses Uk and Railfuture	public transport	A good public transport network, including evenings and weekends will benefit the tourist industry. Many visitors come by train.	Include the need for improved bus services and consider the use of S106 monies to provide	Noted - but this requires support from SCC as the Transport Authority .
706	6589	4	No	Peter Travis	FAVBUG, buses Uk and Railfuture	public transport	Improved rail services have been identified as a priority by the GW franchise. Because the central Mendip towns are so far from a station, buses need to serve the stations directly.	Include bus/rail connectivity as a consideration	Noted - but this requires support from SCC as the Transport Authority .

Rep No	Objector ID	issue ref	Extra Docs	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Matter	Issue summary	Changes sought to the IDP	MDC response
708	6590	1	No	Denise Bolton	Godney Parish Council	rural services	Demand for rural services already exceeds availability and this pressure will grow with 11,000 new homes. Will new services be entirely funded from S106/CIL	Address the pressure on rural services in IDP	The Council aim to maximise contributions from developers where allowed for through the planning system. The purpose of the IDP is to ensure there is an ongoing dialogue with responsible bodies on likely pressures from planned growth and to support their planning to manage capacity.
708	6590	2	No	Denise Bolton	Godney Parish Council	rural services	IDP says that there will be no implications for waste services of the growth in housing. Don't believe this can be the case. Will investment come from S106/CIL?	Address the pressure on waste services in IDP	The Council aim to maximise contributions from developers where allowed for through the planning system. The purpose of the IDP is to Q20
708	6590	3	No	Denise Bolton	Godney Parish Council	rural services	It is acknowledged that there is insufficient telecoms cabinet capacity. With additional households in rural areas it is unclear how demand will be met. No indication is given as to how improvement might be funded.	Address the pressure on broadband and mobile services in IDP	This would be a matter to pursue through the Connecting Devon and Somerset Programme - although this remains focused on extending coverage rather than enhancing access to communities which already have broadband
708	6590	4	No	Denise Bolton	Godney Parish Council	rural services	It is acknowledged that there is insufficient capacity. With additional households in rural areas it is unclear how demand will be met. No indication is given as to how improvement might be funded. Will funding come from S106/CIL.	Address the pressure on health services in IDP	It is not the role of the IDP to identify funding for healthcare. Discussions have taken place with the Somerset CCG and NHS England on the role of planning contributions but this is a complex area where strategies for deliver healthcare are changing.
708	6590	5	No	Denise Bolton	Godney Parish Council	rural services	IDP says that there will be no implications for emergency services of the growth in housing. Don't believe this can be the case. Will investment come from S106/CIL?	Address the pressure on emergency services in IDP	Future requirements of fire, police and ambulance services have been discussed through other joint working arrangements involving public sector landowners.
708	6590	6	No	Denise Bolton	Godney Parish Council	rural services	With the ageing population and the addition of 11,000 new homes there must be a need to identify infrastructure requirements to respond to the increase in demand	identify infrastructure requirements in the IDP.	Many of the plans being prepared by bodies and agencies responsible for infrastructure take account of the challenges presented by an ageing population. This will be reflected in the IDP. The review of planning policies to follow Part II will give much greater consideration to how development policies can address the needs of an ageing population
708	6590	7	No	Denise Bolton	Godney Parish Council	S106 funding	Plan relies on S106. CIL has not been introduced. A many sites have already been agreed, the remaining S106 contributions will not be sufficient to fund requirements.	Review funding arrangements for infrastructure.	CIL and/or s106 make a contribution but do not fully fund infrastructure. The potential of CIL is being kept under review but has its limitations in terms of funding.
555	91	13			Wells City Council	Parking	The schedule in Appendix 1 and 2 provides no indication of how additional parking capacity will be achieved.		A corporate strategy covering capacity and management of council car parking is to come forward in 2019. Additional text on parking has been added to the IDP.

Rep No	Objector ID	issue ref	Extra Docs	Contact Name	Company / Organisation	Matter	Issue summary	Changes sought to the IDP	MDC response
539	6478	1	No	Steve Hellier	Highways England	strategic highways network	Highways agency looks after the Strategic Road network. There are 2 stretches of strategic road affected by development in the in the District, being the A36 at the Beckington bypass and the A303 which is outside the District. A section of the A303 between the B3081 and Marsh, directly south for the District is scheduled to be improved. Developments in Frome has the potential to affect the A36 and development in Shepton has the potential to impact the A303. Highways England's prime consideration is the continues safe operation of its network.	see site specific comments	Noted - this information will be included on the IDP
539	6478	2	No	Steve Hellier	Highways England	strategic highways network	An assessment of cumulative impact has not been provided. Needed to ensure additional trips generated as a result of development can be safely accommodated. Assessment should form part of the transport evidence base. If additional trips cannot be accommodated, phasing and mitigation will need to be discussed with Highways England.	Carry out assessment of cumulative transport impact on the strategic road network.	This objection relates to the overall scale of development in Frome and Shepton Mallet. LPP1 sets out strategic policies for the distribution and scale of development in settlements and identifies broad locations for future growth. LPP2 sets out non-strategic policies to deliver the strategy. The overall approach set out in LPP1 does not form part of this plan making process. Assessment of the impacts on the wider road network would be appropriate as part of the future Single Plan Review. <b>No change to the plan.</b>
539	6478	3	No	Steve Hellier	Highways England	strategic highways network	IP summarises requirements of existing infrastructure along with the approach to provision. Strategic infrastructure should include the strategic road network. Make clear that this network is different to the network managed by SCC. Cumulative impact of developments should be included. Any severe impact on the strategic road network should be assessed and mitigation identified and agreed with Highways England prior to final publication of the IP.	Include strategic road network in the IP	This information will be included in the IDP
539	6478	4	No	Steve Hellier	Highways England	strategic highways network	Pre submission plan does not contain the evidence base that would enable Highways England to advise the inspector that there is sufficient information for the plan to be compliant with the NPPF.	Work with Highways England to develop a satisfactory evidence base.	The plan is not making strategic allocations. Given that an immediate review of the plan would follow the Local Plan 2, Assessment of the impacts on the wider road network would be appropriate as part of the future Single Plan Review. <b>No change to the plan. See also comments in Shepton and Frome responses.</b>