

**Kirkwood, Jason**

A.M.E. 5

**From:** Julie Syer [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 20 January 2010 10:17  
**To:** Lakin, Curtis  
**Cc:** McCutcheon, Suzanne; Kirkwood, Jason  
**Subject:** RE: GfL event 2010 update from Meeting at Worthy Farm 11th Jan 2010

Hi Curtis

I am contacting you to let you know that Melvin has agreed to all points raised by MDC at the meeting on the 11th January and from your subsequent email sent on the 12th January and therefore the Operating Schedule shall be amended accordingly. Melvin is currently going through all the amendments to ensure all points are covered and I will then bring a copy of the amended Operating Schedule to MDC. I hope to be with you before lunchtime.

I will provide 1 x tracked copy and 1 x non tracked copy and a covering letter detailing all amendments and where they can be found.

Best wishes

Julie

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Lakin, Curtis [mailto:Curtis.Lakin@mendip.gov.uk]  
**Sent:** 12 January 2010 13:48  
**To:** Julie Syer  
**Cc:** McCutcheon, Suzanne; Horton, Keith  
**Subject:** GfL event 2010 update from Meeting at Worthy Farm 11th Jan 2010  
**Importance:** High

Dear Julie

Following on from our Meeting at Worthy Farm 11th Jan 2010  
Present:- Melvyn Benn , Dave Leversedge, Julie Syer, Curtis Lakin, Suzanne McCutcheon & Keith Horton

I thank you for arranging the meeting yesterday which I believe proved very useful to us in establishing a clearer understanding of our respective and common needs. I offered to follow up with an email which is set out below. I hope the format and content are clear however if it is not, I would appreciate being able to clarify any uncertainty at the earliest opportunity.

This email consists of 4 sections (A-D) with A and B relating to the prevention of public nuisance. Sections C and D are not restricted to the prevention of public nuisance licensing objective. This email should not be taken as a formal response to the application and MDC Responsible Authorities may wish to respond independently.

A Principle Issues discussed and Agreements established at meeting to satisfy Responsible Authority that an objection is not warranted to license on Public Nuisance :-

1. 65 acceptable for last 3 acts on Friday and Saturday up to midnight last 3 acts to 23:30 on Sunday providing that GFL identify the following to the satisfaction of MDC :
  - A numerical level less than 60dBA for 00:00 to 00:30 Fri / Sat. (DL discuss with KH ahead of revised submission) Sunday 00.30 - midnight 60dBA or GFL to offer lower level
2. Audible and discernible to remain the same
3. MDC will be informed of any over runs
4. OS to be modified to state 650KW and clarified that it is all sound sources with exception of 200W
5. Modified noise statement of intent included in OS to cover:-
  - a) 12kw max for sound systems other than principal and lesser stages in table ABC
  - b) sound size by time . GFL to provide total acoustic power by each time period and identifying the differences on Thursday and Sunday as some programming times are different to Friday and Saturday. (DL to draft / discuss with KH and agree ahead of revised submission)
  - c) The table with ABC stages represent the largest stages and any future stage name changes is clarified as replacement. These are in effect the bigger venues (This also needs correction so that Sunday limit is midnight to be consistent with application)
6. With respect to the park operating Thursday there is no objection subject to ALL other matters agreed above.

NOTE For clarification the list 1-6 identify those fundamental issues which without agreement were deemed significant enough to warrant objection in their own right. Agreement removes the objection such that any latent or residual concerns and any forthcoming queries arising can be raised (separately) through the EMP agreement process. By way of example and without prejudice to demonstrate this point , we may raise concerns about the arrangements for the Park in relation to Audible and Discernible particularly on the Thursday.

B Other issues that Responsible Authority (MDC EP Team) would like to see addressed in OS

In 7 above we have identified the key requirements from the former noise statement of intent to satisfy us that an objection is not warranted. At the meeting I said that there were additional points that we feel ought to be taken from the NSOI and built into the new OS to provide the framework of commitment to the Licensing objective which can then be implemented through the arrangements in the EMP. These are as follows:

- \* Table ABC of principal and lesser stages and other agreements from A above will need to be imported into Operating Schedule (it is my understanding that Melvin Benn covering letter of 23rd December is not a formal part of application)
- \* Clarification in sound checks that "substantive complaints" can be one justified complaint
- \* commitment to maintain low levels minimal noise complaints and commitment to respond to complaints
- \* record of start and finish times of stages (at daily meetings)
- \* commitment to deal with unlicensed / unauthorised parties systems
- \* provision of desensitised addresses
- \* log of actions taken to deal with issues